



Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed Rule 132 affidavit filed 12/16/009. The Examiner informed Applicant that after consultation with supervisor Steve Paik 1/11/10, the declarations in the affidavit are considered persuasive and the specification supports the figure; thus, the Examiner will enter Fig. 6 as new drawing. Figs. 6 and 7 of Takada were discussed. The Examiner pointed out that the socket opening comprises items that are inside the space defined by items 19 and 5. Thus item 33, which is inside the opening, creates an asymmetrical shape of the opening; therefore the current rejection in view of Takada is maintained. The Examiner disagreed indicating allowability previously of claim 56 with limitation "the pin be engaged with a hole of the memory card". Applicant agreed to consider further amending claim 56 to indicate the hole is located on an inside surface of the card and combine the limitations of claim 56 to independent claims. The Examiner did not indicate allowability to any claims. Mr. Kim indicated that Applicant was contacted and approved for interview participation of Mr. Seung Hyun, Jang.